

Future Framework - A nightmare

If the original shots are killing and disabling, why is the FDA going for more, and without trials?

Brucha Weisberger

Medical researcher, Author, Educator

Have you ever wondered...

Why didn't the CDC ever publish the final numbers regarding safety in pregnancy?

Does anyone on the panel know the final numbers?

Why won't you tell us? Is there a reason the public has to be left in the dark about this?

The screenshot shows the top navigation bar of The New England Journal of Medicine website. It includes the logo, the text "The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE", a "SUBSCRIBE NOW" button, and user account icons. Below the navigation bar is a row of featured articles, including an "IMAGE CHALLENGE" and several "ORIGINAL ARTICLE" teasers. A yellow banner below the featured articles states "A correction has been published 1". The main content area displays the title "Preliminary Findings of mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine Safety in Pregnant Persons" under the heading "ORIGINAL ARTICLE". The authors listed are Tom T. Shimabukuro, M.D., Shin Y. Kim, M.P.H., Tanya R. Myers, Ph.D., Pedro L. Moro, M.D., Titilope Oduyebo, M.D., Lakshmi Panagiotakopoulos, M.D., Paige L. Marquez, M.S.P.H., Christine K. Olson, M.D., Ruiling Liu, Ph.D., Karen T. Chang, Ph.D., Sascha R. Ellington, Ph.D., Veronica K. Burkel, M.P.H., et al., for the CDC v-safe COVID-19 Pregnancy Registry Team.

Table 6. Overall vaccine coverage in women giving birth, by month of delivery¹

Month	Women giving birth	One or more doses by time of delivery	Two or more doses by time of delivery	Unvaccinated at delivery	Unvaccinated who went on to receive dose(s) after pregnancy to 24 May 2022
Jan 2021	41,949	18 (0.0%)	1 (0.0%)	41,775 (99.6%)	32,178 (77.0%)
Feb 2021	40,093	83 (0.2%)	0 (0.0%)	39,880 (99.5%)	30,749 (77.1%)
Mar 2021	44,589	296 (0.7%)	25 (0.1%)	44,174 (99.1%)	33,816 (76.6%)
Apr 2021	43,112	499 (1.2%)	96 (0.2%)	42,458 (98.5%)	32,108 (75.6%)
May 2021	44,438	1,261 (2.8%)	308 (0.7%)	43,009 (96.8%)	31,704 (73.7%)
Jun 2021	44,073	4,384 (9.9%)	654 (1.5%)	39,550 (89.7%)	27,852 (70.4%)
Jul 2021	47,582	7,728 (16.2%)	2,206 (4.6%)	39,675 (83.4%)	26,415 (66.6%)
Aug 2021	46,198	10,489 (22.7%)	6,127 (13.3%)	35,526 (76.9%)	22,057 (62.1%)
Sep 2021	46,723	15,101 (32.3%)	10,523 (22.5%)	31,443 (67.3%)	17,771 (56.5%)
Oct 2021	46,211	19,215 (41.6%)	14,659 (31.7%)	26,803 (58.0%)	13,475 (50.3%)
Nov 2021	42,844	20,857 (48.7%)	16,447 (38.4%)	21,824 (50.9%)	8,625 (39.5%)
Dec 2021	41,530	22,334 (53.8%)	18,016 (43.4%)	19,018 (45.8%)	5,330 (28.0%)
Jan 2022	39,276	23,382 (59.5%)	19,913 (50.7%)	15,745 (40.1%)	2,429 (15.4%)
Feb 2022	36,394	23,949 (65.8%)	21,062 (57.9%)	12,280 (33.7%)	950 (7.7%)

Why is it that the more you vaccinate the lower the # of absolute births?

CDC says vaccine-induced heart damage is “mild.” The troponin numbers show this isn’t true.

(high post-vax levels can be sustained for months; there is no precedent for this)

Troponin I (ng/mL) on presentation	6.140 (reference 0-0.30 ng/mL)	27.0 (reference 0.012-0.120 ng/mL)
Other Labs		
Peak Troponin I	10,453 (high sensitivity assay, reference ≤ 17 ng/L)	44.30 (reference 0.012-0.120 ng/mL)

614X normal in 45 year old woman

Reference: [Myocarditis after Covid-19 mRNA Vaccination](#) published in a peer-reviewed journal.

BC doc says he's found blood clots in 62% of post-vaccine patients

By Lee Harding | Jul 19, 2021 Updated May 8, 2022 0



Where is the study looking at D-dimer and troponin levels before vs. after vaccination?

Dr. Charles Hoffe did such a study and found D-dimer was elevated in 62% of cases.

His medical practice was burned down.

© Amy Faith reposted



ULTRAMAGA follow back @vixens

19h · 🌐

israelnationalnews.com/news/328529



New study links COVID vaccines to 25% increase in cardiac arrest for both males & females

Study based on data from emergency services. COVID infection itself not linked to significant increase in cardiovascular complications.



Y Rabinovitz / 31.05.22 / 16:20

7INN
ARUTZ SHEVA

Heart Attack Vaccination Coronavirus vaccines

A **25% increase in cardiac arrest** is a HUGE serious adverse event.

How come the CDC never warned us about the association?


How could that **not** have showed up in the clinical trials?!?

UK ONS: Up to 6X ACM increase post-vax

Nobody in the world will challenge UK Professor Norman Fenton on this data.

Do you find that troubling? UPenn's Jeffrey Morris' explanation doesn't fit the data.

Unvaxxed



Dr. Peter Schirmacher

1. Chief pathologist at the University of Heidelberg
2. One of top 100 pathologists in the world
3. Member German National Academy of Sciences
4. h-index: 100 (38,730 citations)
5. Did autopsy on 40 people who died within 2 weeks of vaccine → “30% to 40% died from the vaccine”

They threatened to kill his family if he spoke out. He has remained silent since the threat.

Is this how “science” works? Why isn’t anyone in the medical community speaking up?

August 1, 2021, 9:53 a.m. Science - Heidelberg

Chief pathologist insists on more autopsies of vaccinated people




Peter Schirmacher, Managing Director of the Pathological Institute at Heidelberg University Hospital. Photo: Uli Deck / dpa (Photo: dpa)

Reference: [Chief pathologist insists on more autopsies of vaccinated people](#)


How do you explain the high rates of reported deaths for the COVID vaccines?

All Deaths Reported to VAERS by Year




VAERS COVID Vaccine Mortality Reports

Through June 3, 2022



It isn't over-reporting as all the other vaccines combined aren't elevated in any year including 2021 and 2022. **Where is the evidence of over-reporting?**

This poll showing the “cure is worse than the disease” has been replicated in live audience surveys



BREAKING: Fifth largest life insurance company in the US paid out 163% more for deaths of working people ages 18-64 in 2021 - Total claims/benefits up \$6 BILLION

Company cites "non-pandemic-related morbidity" and "unusual claims adjustments" in explanation of losses from group life insurance business: Stock falling, replaces CEO



Margaret Menge
Jun 15

134

75



If it's just over-reporting to VAERS then how do you explain life insurance deaths 18-64 are 2.6X normal in 2021??

That is the **BIGGEST KILLER OF ALL TIME**

This new "disease" kills @ 6.5X the rate of heart disease (the former champ).

CDC is silent on what it might be. They do not have a clue.



Pierre Kory, MD MPA
@PierreKory



U.S Group Life Insurance Mortality Survey. P. 23 data on Q3 is terrifying. Am told Q4 looking the same. Some CEO's speaking out. How many more have to die for states to revolt against Federal HHS by stopping vax & allowing access to early Rx like TN & NH?
soa.org/48ff80/globala...

Table 5.6
EXCESS MORTALITY BY DETAILED AGE BAND

Age	Q2 2020	Q3 2020	Q4 2020	Q1 2021	Q2 2021	Q3 2021
0-24	119%	127%	108%	102%	121%	129%
25-34	129%	135%	124%	120%	131%	181%
35-44	124%	136%	129%	129%	132%	217%
45-54	123%	127%	130%	133%	121%	208%
55-64	117%	123%	130%	129%	116%	170%
65-74	116%	115%	133%	130%	108%	133%
75-84	113%	113%	132%	122%	105%	116%
85+	111%	102%	123%	110%	90%	98%
All¹⁰	116%	115%	128%	122%	107%	139%

How do you explain the huge rise in excess deaths in Q3, Q4 in US, Germany, Cyprus, ...?

We know the stats in Sonoma county

Zero pediatric COVID deaths.

One death of [15-year-old Odin Maximillian Robinson](#) who died in his sleep in Santa Rosa just **two days after his second Pfizer shot** from pericarditis.

There is a second death in Sonoma from the vaccine.

How is that consistent with 1) an emergency and 2) a safe vaccine?

Sonoma County Sheriff's Office

Mark Essick, Sheriff-Coroner
Coroner Investigations Unit
3336 Chanate Road, Santa Rosa, CA 95404
(707) 565-5070



DEATH INVESTIGATION SYNOPSIS REPORT

CORONER CASE #
21-000670

INCIDENT INFORMATION

LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY WITH JURISDICTION Santa Rosa Police Department	REPRESENTATIVE TITLE AND NAME Officer Jose Andrade #568	AGENCY CR # <input type="checkbox"/> N/A 21-0006115
WARNING OF DEATH Undetermined	DATE/TIME RECEIVED 06/07/2021 14:35	

DECEDENT INFORMATION

DECEDENT'S NAME (FIRST, MIDDLE, LAST) [REDACTED]	AGE 15 yrs	
-----------------------------------------------------	---------------	--

DEATH INFORMATION

PLACE OF DEATH (if facility Name or Address Location) [REDACTED]	DATE OF DEATH 06/07/2021 [Found]	TIME OF DEATH 14:04 [Found]
---------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------------

SYNOPSIS

The decedent was found unresponsive in his bedroom after his mother was checking on his welfare long after he was supposed to wake in the morning. The decedent was pronounced dead at the scene due to obvious death. The decedent had been in good health with no medical history and had received his second Pfizer COVID-19 Vaccination approximately two days before his death.

The decedent's body was transported to the Sonoma County Morgue Facility, where he was registered for a postmortem examination by a forensic pathologist.

After extensive research, additional testing, and collaboration with numerous other entities, the cause of death was determined to be: "STRESS CARDIOMYOPATHY WITH PERIVASCULAR CORONARY ARTERY INFLAMMATION (hours to days), due to, UNKNOWN ETIOLOGY IN SETTING OF RECENT PFIZER-BIONTECH COVID-19 VACCINATION (days)." There were no other significant conditions contributing to the death listed.

Since the etiology of the stress cardiomyopathy with perivascular coronary artery inflammation was unknown but was in the setting of a recent Pfizer-Biontech Covid-19 vaccination, I mannered this death as "UNDETERMINED," which was consistent with the circumstances and cause.

REPORTING EMPLOYEE: M. PETERS / DETECTIVE	ID #: 1102041	APPROVED BY: J. HAUGEN / SERGEANT	DATE: 9/13/2021
----------------------------------------------	------------------	--------------------------------------	--------------------

Rev. 1.1 - 05/11/2020



Why is early treatment ignored?

Fareed and Tyson treated 12,000 COVID patients without a single death or hospitalization.

Why won't the CDC return their phone calls?

Pfizer Phase 3 clinical trial fraud allegations that should be immediately investigated by the FDA

There are more than a dozen "smoking guns" that indicate that the Pfizer Phase 3 trial was not properly conducted. The FDA should investigate all these allegations, but they aren't. Why not?

Steve Kirsch
Jun 15

... 557 306



Confidential Documents prove Pfizer is guilty of committing major fraud in COVID-19 Vaccine Clinical Trials

It is in the best interest of all parties to have transparency in these issues in order to restore public trust in the medical community and reduce vaccine hesitancy which are key goals of the CDC and FDA.

Why hasn't the FDA investigated any of the dozens of accusations of fraud in the Pfizer Phase 3 clinical trial?

Pfizer admitted to the fraud in Federal court (Brook Jackson's case) and their defense was that the FDA "was in on it."

Is that acceptable?

My offer to Peter Marks to end vaccine hesitancy

CNN quoted FDA's Dr. Marks as saying he is compelled to do ANYTHING to end vaccine hesitancy. I suggested he debate us. Do you think he will accept? Don't hold your breath.



Steve Kirsch
Jun 8



682

424



Dr. Peter Marks, Director of CBERS at the FDA, says he's compelled to do anything to end vaccine hesitancy... except debate us.

Why is Dr. Peter Marks so afraid of an open scientific discussion?

He said on CNN he'd do ANYTHING to reduce vaccine hesitancy. But he refuses to speak with any of the doctors who are creating the vaccine hesitancy.

Why not?

Why not debate Dr. Peter McCullough? He is arguably the most qualified cardiologist in the world.

Instead, ABIM seeks to de-certify Dr. McCullough. But they will not discuss the science. They refused Senator Johnson's offer for a scientific discussion.



Government officials are calling for censorship instead of open debate. That's anti-science.

If you disagree the narrative, you are censored. Censorship and intimidation tactics are unamerican. Public health officials should be agreeing to OPEN PUBLIC DEBATES, not silencing the opposition and refusing to debate.

Why isn't anybody on the VRBPAC committee speaking out about scientific censorship? Is this how science works now? You censor people you disagree with?